App. F IX-4

1930. (Jan-Dec)

File No. 16-30

Serial Nos. 1—2

Previous References

Jan 347-07-1-3
" 316-08-1-6
" 237-23-1-5
" 244-27-1-4
" 248-28-1-7

Later References

16-30-6-7

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2—

Part B for Jan 1930

SUBJECT

Review petition from the Agent of Sri ~~Kundapur~~ Sosale Vyasarajaswami mutt for permission to use certain insignia by the Swamiji of the Sri Kundapur Mutt

Ord. 28 dated 8.7.30

To the Petitioner

Grant. vide G.O. grounds for reconsidering the order being passed

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

ORDERS.

OFFICE NOTE.

P&C No 2305-

Submitted

This is a petition from the Agent of the Sri Vyasaraja Mutt at Sosale requesting for a review of Government Order dated the 20th July 1928 permitting the use of certain long-disputed honors by the Sri Kundapur Vyasaraja Mutt during the tours of its Swamy in the State.

A "Rayasa" addressed to the Dewan by the Swamiji (of the Sosale Mutt) himself on this subject is also attached.

The grounds urged in the Review petition are:-

(1) That the Sosale Mutt has been enjoying its present special honors for generations to the exclusion of any other Mutt.

(2) That this claim is fully borne out by Government records (quoted in the petition).

(3) That a claim for similar honors put forward by the Kundapur Mutt has been disallowed on more than one occasion in the past (in 1908, 1909 and again in 1925).

(4) That the Government Order of June 1925 is final and was passed after a long and careful consideration of the evidence, documentary and oral, placed by both the Mutts.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

ORDERS.	OFFICE NOTE.
	(5) That the order of July 1928 permitting the use by the Kundapur Mutt of those honors which have long been the exclusive privilege of the Sosale Mutt was passed ex parte, conflicts with previous decisions and is highly prejudicial to the interests of the latter Mutt. (6) That the Kundapur Mutt, taking its stand on the order of July 1928, is going about the country giving out that it is the original or ancient Vyasaraja Mutt and collecting Biksha, Kanikai etc., from disciples, which are legitimately due to the Sosale Mutt, as in reality being the original Mutt. (7) That the Sosale Mutt is not seeking to question the authority of Government in granting particular honors to particular Mutts. All that it is trying to bring to the notice of the Government is that the order of 1928 confers on the Kundapur Mutt privileges for which it had long been agitating though unsuccessfully, on the alleged ground that it was the ancient Vyasaraja Mutt and that it tantamounts to a recognition of that claim which

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

ORDERS.

The Member desires that this file together with the references required may be submitted to the Dewan before he leaves the station

1/7.

Today 1/7/30 will be

1.7.30 Approved

30.6.30

30-6-30

Submitted.

(Member) 1.7.30

OFFICE NOTE

is not based on facts and past records.

The Sosale Mutt authorities only ask for an opportunity of placing their case before Government with connected documentary evidence. A deputation from the Mutt also waited upon the Dewan this morning.

The petition may perhaps be submitted to the First Member of Council for consideration.

J. Chelvamboy

30/6/30

3 All the files relating to this case may be submitted to the Dewan. I do not think there are any grounds for re-considering the orders already passed. We have nothing to do with the question which of the two Mutts is the real ancient Vysaraya Mutt and we have not and we cannot express any opinion on this point. The question is only one of the grant of some minor honours which have been conferred upon many other Mutts. The contention of this Mutt that the honours referred to by it

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER COUNCIL 20

Recd at 5.15 1/7

Serial No.

should be exclusively enjoyed by it and that none of the other Mutts should have them, is al together untenable. As a matter of fact, these honours are, as will be seen from the notes in the file, enjoyed by many Mutts other than Kundapur and Vyasaraya Mutts.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
2 - JUL. 1930
26

Recd. 5-15 P.m
VS
1.7.30

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
2 JUL 1930
22
MADRAS.

FINANCIAL ... 1861
3 JUL ...

File registered plea...
MSK
3.7.30

4 Submitted to the Dewan - with reference files.

VS
2.7.30.

5 JM
2-7-30

6 VS
3.7.30

6 An endorsement on the lines of the Member's orders will be issued to the party if approved and if considered necessary.
MSK
3/7/30 7 yes, please put up a draft

8 Submitted with a draft for consn. VS
MSK 4.7.30
4/7/30

Fl. Secy) VS
5.7.30

7 h 5/7

10 Issue
VS
7.7.30

674/27

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—80,000—20-1-27

of 1930

Sundaramayachar
Agent. Vijayaraya Sevaki Mess Fosale
No 28 8-8-30
States that the Govt see no reason
to reconsider the order already

17/7/30

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

ರಂಬಳ್
137
14-6-30

6

30.6.30
2368



ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ರಾವ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ ಎಂ. ಸಂಜೀವರಾಯರವರಿಗೆ
ಮದ್ರಾಸು ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯೇಟ್

ಮಹಾಶಯರೇ,

ಕೆಲವು ಸಮಯದಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಆದರೆ ಇಂದಿನವರೆಗೂ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಮಯ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಮೊದಲನೆಯದಾಗಿ

ಅನಾದಿಕಾಲದಿಂದ ನಡೆದು ಬಂದಿರುವ ತ್ರಿಮತ
ಸ್ಥಾಪಿತರಾದ ಗುರುಗಳಿಂದ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ
ಪವಿತ್ರ ಶಕ್ತಿ ವಿರಾಜಿತವಾಗಿರುವ ಪೀಠವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು
ಅವಧರಿಂದ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪೀಠಾಧಿಪತಿಯಾಗಿ
ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಪರಂಪರಾಗತವಾಗಿ ನಡೆದು
ಬಂದಿರುವ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯದಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು
ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು
ಕುರಿತು ನನ್ನ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು
ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ
ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಅಡ್ಡಿ
ಆತಂಕಗಳನ್ನುಂಟು ಮಾಡಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ
ಧಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಂತಹ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ಸುಗಮವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು
ಬರಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು
ಅಡ್ಡಿ

To

The Dewan,

Government of Mysore,

(Camp) M y s o r e.

Petitioner:-

Sundararajachar,

Agent,

Sri Vyasaraja Mutt,

S O S A L E.

Mwz 16-30-1

Sir,

1. I beg permission to approach you with this my humble petition concerning certain ancient insignia, which are the special honours of the Sri Vysaraja Mutt and which have been at present allowed to be used by the Kundapur or Abbur Mutt, by means of the Government Order dated 20th July 1928, permitting the said Kundapur Mutt to use those insignia during its tour in the Mysore State. While presenting this petition on behalf of Sri Vyasaraja Mutt, I feel confident that it will have your fullest consideration and sympathetic disposal since a perusal of the various ancient and reliable records will surely convince that they fully support the claim and privilege of the petitioner's Mutt to the use of these special insignia to the exclusion of any other Mutt. The letters of His Highness the late Sri Krishnaraja Wodayiar the Third, addressed to the petitioner's Mutt, the various Rahatharies both ancient and recent issued by the British Government as well as the Government of Mysore and besides, the several Government Orders passed after fullest enquiry at each time, are positively in favour of the view that these special insignia are the exclusive privilege of the petitioner's Mutt and that no other Mutt should be permitted the use of them.

(2) When in 1908 the Kundapur Mutt applied to the Government for the grant of a Rahathari in which they claimed to include the special honours Viz. (1) Drum on Camel Back, (2) Green Umbrellas, the Government on a full consideration of the

~~of the~~ records refused to include them in the Pahadari issued to them, as they were the special honours of the Sri Vyasaraja Mutt. Vide. Order No. 4874 dated 30-4-1908. Besides, when the Kundapur Mutt, ignoring the above order of the Government, began to parade these honours, the Government saw the harm done to the petitioner's Mutt and issued another order No. 2493 Muz. dated F. 316-08-6 dated 30-6-1909, calling the attention of the Kundapur Mutt to the previous order of the Government No. 4874 dated 30-4-1908 and prohibiting the Kundapur Mutt in expressed terms from using these special honours.

(3) The Kundapur Mutt rested contented with the affair for over Sixteen years thereafter and again presented before the Government an eloborate petition in which they once again claimed permission to parade these insignia on the ground of the Kundapur Mutt being the original Vyasaraja Mutt and on the ground of the said Mutt using them un-interrupted as a Mamul for over ~~250~~ 450 years. The Government thereon heard the contentions of both the Mutts. Statements and records were filed by both the Mutts in support of their contentions. The Mutts were represented by their Agents and Legal Advisers during the hearing. After an eloborate discussion and a careful ~~scrutiny~~ scrutiny of the records filed in the case, the Government passed the final orders No. 1426 Muz.227-23-5 dated Bangalore, 31-3-25 once again confirming the previous order of the Government and definitely denying the right or Mamul of the Kundapur Mutt to the use of the insignia in question.

(4) While the matter was thus finally settled the Kundapur Mutt has some how managed to snatch an order from the Government behind the back of the petitioner's Mutt allowing them to use these insignia. This order of the Government dated 20th July 1928 was passed by the Government without issuing any notice to the Petitioner's Mutt and without hearing them as to

as to why the previous order was not to be altered. The petitioner fails to understand by any strech of imagination as to what were the new set of circumstances or new pieces of evidence that were adduced by the Kundapur Mutt which could all on a sudden influence the mind of the Government to entirely change the previous order passed after a careful consideration of all the circumstances and a scrutiny of the concerned records, The petitioner very much regrets that his Mutt has been very seriously handicapped in as much as he was not even given a chance to explain his case or meet and answer any new pieces of evidence if any that might have been adduced by the Kundapur Mutt.

(5) An application was subsequently filed on behalf of the petitioner's Mutt before the Honorable First Member to review the order passed by him on 20th July 1928 and to the greatest dismay of the petitioner the Honorable First Member was not pleased to appreciate the very ancient and valuable records that were filed by the Petitioner's Mutt. The petitioner was then given an endorsement No. 1321 dated 3rd January 1930, stating that he saw no reason to modify his order dated 20th July 1928 allowing the Kundapur Mutt to use these disputed insignia.

(6) The petitioner humbly begs to submit that the case of his Mutt has been very unfairly dealt with by the Honorable First Member in as much as his order dated 20th July 1928 passed behind the back of the petitioner's Mutt, has totally ignored the force of the previous orders of the Government on the subject Viz. (1) No. 4874 dated 30-4-1908, (2) No.- 2493 Muz.F.316-05-6 dated 30-6-1909 and (3) No. 1426 Muz. 227-23-5 dated 31-3-1925 which were passed after a hot contest and in as much as the trend of his order dated 27th December 1929 goes to indicate that the Government allowed those insignia to the Kundapur Mutt

Kundapur Mutt just because the petitioner's Mutt seemato contend the right of the Government to do so. With very great respect the petitioner begs to submit that it was never the contention of the petitioner's Mutt that the Government representing the sovereign authority has no power to confer any honours or any insignia on any Mutt afresh. The petitioner's sole grievance is that, in as much as the Kundapur Mutt has for a long period of time been agitating with malafide intentions to get these insignia on the ground of their Mutt being the original Vyasaraja Mutt and on the ground of their having been enjoying these insignia by mamul, the Government order dated 20th July 1928, may in effect amount to a recognition of their right to the insignia on the ground of their title and mamul. Though several times heard the Kundapur Mutt has failed to adduce any reliable evidence in support of their contention and on the other hand the petitioner's Mutt has by means of very ancient and valuable records proved beyond doubt that these insignia are the exclusive honours of the petitioner's Mutt and the claim of the Kundapur Mutt for these honours as set forth in the petition is frivolous, mischievous and malafide.

(7) Further the grant of these insignia to the Kundapur Mutt has already resulted in very grave consequences to the petitioner's Mutt, in as much as the Kundapur Mutt touring in several places with these insignia, which, to the knowledge of every one throughout the length and breadth of India, are the special honours of the petitioner's Mutt, has been proclaiming to the people on the strength of these insignia that they are the original Vyasaraja Mutt and has been receiving all the remunerations in the shape of Kanikai, Biksha and such other things which are legitimately due to the petitioner's Mutt. Reports and petitions are being constantly received by the petitioner's Mutt through their respectable desciples complaining about the various un-authorised activities of the Kundapur Mutt calculated to lower the prestige and income of the petitioners

Petitioner's Mutt. In this attempt of theirs the Kundapur Mutt is having its sole strength in the grant of these disputed insignia.

(8) It needs hardly to be said that these insignia which are being enjoyed by the petitioner's Mutt for generations and which, as very ancient and special honours of the Mutt are a symbol of high prestige and status, if allowed to be used by any other Mutt must naturally result in a more serious loss of property and prestige to the petitioner's Mutt. A serious clash among the desciples is also not unlikely.

(9) The petitioner's Mutt has got very ancient and valuable records to support their case fully. He therefore most humbly prays that in view of the seriousness of the question involved in the case an opportunity may be given to the petitioner's Mutt to present and explain their full case with reference to relevant documents in their possession. The petitioner further prays that in case the petitioner after being given a chance to present his case fully succeeds in establishing his contention to your entire satisfaction, the order of the Government dated 20th July 1928 passed by the Honorable First Member, be reviewed and a final order be passed after hearing the petitioner's Mutt.

Enclosures:-

D
C
U
E
A

1. Copy of the order of the Government No. 4874 dated 30-4-1908
2. Copy of the order No. 2439 Muz. F.316-08-6 dated 30-6-1909.
3. Copy of the Government Order No. 1426 Muz.227-23-5 dated 31-3-25
4. Copy of the order No. 1321 dated 27th December 1929.
5. Order No 135/mut 244-27-4 dated Bangalore

Dated 20.7.1928

ಶ್ರೀಶಂಕರಭಾರತೀಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ
ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರು ಪಾದಾಬ್ಜಂ

Petitioner.

ತಾ॥ 29-6-1930

the Government
Proceedings of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore

15

----0-coo-0----

R e a d :-

Endorsement No. 4874 / Muz. 347-07 dated the 30th April 1908 to the Parpatheigar of the Sri Kundapur Vyasarayaswamy Mutt stating that as the insignias of Nagari on Camel and green flag are the birudus enjoyed by the Sri Sosali Vyasaraja Mutt and as they have not been mentioned in the Rahadari granted to the Kundapur Mutt in 1896 they should not be allowed to be used by the latter.

Vignapana Pathrika No. 2493 / MF 316-08-6 dated the 30th June 1909 to the Swamigalu of the Kundapur Vyasarayaswamy Mutt stating that it was improper for the Mutt to have used Nagari on Camel and green flag while on tour in Anekal and other places in the Bangalore District and thus given raise to complaint that these insignias should not be displayed without the sanction of the Government.

Petition Registered No. 1035 dated the 6th March 1924 received from Mr. V. R. Nigagal, retired Executive Engineer and Honorary Agent of the Kundapur Vyasarayaswamy Mutt, stating that the orders contained in the above endorsements were ~~xxxxx~~ ad-interim and exparte ones, that satisfactory evidence will be adduced on behalf of his Mutt if a hearing is given to him, and praying that on an examination of the evidence that he will produce the said orders may be reconsidered and his Mutt permitted to parade the Birudus in question.

Correspondence ending with letter No. C.902 of 1922-23 dated the 29th January 1925 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore submitting a report on the subject.

Subject.

Order No. 1426 / Muz. 227-23-5

Dated, B a n g a l o r e, 31st March 1925.

The Muzrai Commissioner to whom the petition received from Mr. V. R. Najagar, Honorary Agent; of the Kundapur Vyasarayaswamy Mutt was forwarded for enquiry and report, has, after hearing both the parties- the Sosali Vyasarajaswamy Mutt and the Kundapur Vyasarayaswamy Mutt- and recording the evidence adduced on their behalf, stated that the documentary evidence filed by the petitioner on behalf of the Kundapur Mutt is not adequate to prove conclusively that the Mutt is entitled to the use of the insignia in question and had used them for over fifty years. He has also stated that the petitioner wants to be given an opportunity to adduce reliable oral evidence to show that these insignias had been used by the Mutt in several places without objection for years passed, and he has suggested that in the circumstances a special officer may be appointed for three to six months for recording evidence in the matter in the presence of both the parties, the cost of the deputation being borne by the petitioner as agreed to by him.

2. Government consider to appoint a special Officer for about six months to go about the country and collect and record oral evidence which the Mutt is prepared to adduce in support of its claim is not a practicle one and are not prepared to sanction it. In the first place, any oral evidence that may be now adduced by either party in support of its contention is liable to be viewed with suspicion, and secondly and even if it is proved by oral evidence that the Kundapur Mutt had displayed the Birudus in dispute in some places on some occasions, this cannot be taken into account to a proof of the recognition by the Government of its right to use the birudus.

3. In these circumstances and in view of the fact that

that the Kundapur Vyasarayaswamy Mutt has not adduced any satisfactory evidence in support of its claim, Government see no reason to modify the order already passed by them.

Sd:- K. V. Anatharaman,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

to

The Muzrai Commissioner, in Mysore returning records.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R e a d:-

Government Order No. 1426/Muz. 237-23-5 dated 31st March 25 disallowing the claim of Sri Kundapura Vyasarayaswamy Mutt at Abbur, Channapatna Taluk, to parade the marginally noted Biradus.

(1) Nagari on Camel
(2) Green Flag.

} during the tours of the Swamy in the State.

(2) Review applications from the Swamy and the Agent of the Mutt urging the Mutt's claim to the use of the above honours.

(3) Letter No.C.1030 dated 14th February 1928 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore recommending the grant of the above Biradus and Green Chatri.

O R D E R No.135/Muz. 244-27-4, dated Bangalore, the 20th July 1928.

On a consideration of all the circumstances of the case, Government are pleased to direct in modification of the order of 31st March 1925 read above that the Head of this Mutt be permitted to use the marginally noted Biradus during his tours in the State.

Sd/- B. Srinivasaiengar,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Copy to the Agent of the Mutt.

Copy

Sd/- A. Venkata Rao,
Superintendent, Muzrai Branch,
G.R.S.

P.T.O.

Copy applied for by Mr. Sundararajachar on 9-1-29.

Read on. 11-1-29.

Delivered on 11-1-29.

No.of words 215.

Copying etc., fees 0-7-0.

======

No 2493 Dated 30-6-1909

mmz. 7. 316-08-6

శ్రీమతాకరమఠంబు పరివ్రాజకాచార్యులగు శ్రీ కుంభాభ్యుదయస్వామి
వారు దాంతిశరాద శ్రీ కుంభాభ్యుదయస్వామి యున్నాపి
గళవర శ్రీ మంత్రద శ్రీ లక్ష్మీపతియగు శ్రీదర్ శ్రీవాంఛం
గళవరకళ్ళి మై. కారు గవర్నమెంటు జనరల్ శిపిస్వా
నేక్రేట్రియవరిగాగి ఎ. సుబ్రహ్మణ్యయ్యరవరు మాడువ
విజ్ఞాపనా

నావు చింగళూరు డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ ఆనేకల్లు సంస్థానద్వేషగ
ళల్లి వంశపారంపర్యవాగి నున్ను దవరు నిష్ఠానిగళిగే,
గురు సంచారమాడుత్తిరువదాగి శ్రీ నోణలవాస్తవ్యరాద
బ్రాహ్మిగళవర శ్రీమంతరదవా మిగళు శ్రీమఠవిరోధిద్దరవేలి
చింగళూరు డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ డెప్యుటీకలిష్టనోరవరింగే బరిసిరలాగి
అవరిందకల్పిత రిపోర్టినల్లి ఆనేకలంకరణాదల్లి వంశపారంపర్య
గాగి బరుదన్ను ఉచయించిదంతే నవు దిరుక్కే. వదరింద
దాంవళిగళు కమగళిందల్పకలల్లివాద్దరింద అవుగళన్ను కాప్తి ఉచ
యించినికొడబేకుదాగి మొదలిగవన్నోలంటినింద శీలమాన్య
వాగి ఆ బగ్గే నమ్మ మఠదవారణెళ్ళే గారంగే నాదరోమాడిద
30-4-1908నేయ మళ్ళం 4874 నంబరు ఇంజాడినల్లి
కేంబ్రిడ్జ్ వాగ్, దిరుక్కే దకాంతద ఆర్డరింద ఎరుకథ్ధవా
గి వంశపారంపర్యవగాగి బిరుదన్ను ఉపయోగిసిదిరువాగి
గే అవకాశకొంప్పిరువుదు యుక్త వల్లవేందెలు ముంబిరిసి
ది అవ్వణ్ణో ఇల్లదే దా బిరుదన్ను ఎచయోగిసబేకెందు దాగి
నవర్నరదింద శీమాంనవాగత్తక్కే వివర విజ్ఞాపిసిదే.

By order

(Sd) A Subramanyadyer

27-4-1909

For Secretary

No 2494 dated 30-6-1909

వేంకటనారాయియన్ను శ్రీమత్పరమహంస పరివ్రాజకాచార్యులగు
దాంతిశరాద శ్రీమద్భువనాభ్యుదయస్వామి వారు సన్యాస
స్వీకారద శ్రీమద్ విద్యా శ్రీధరేంద్ర శీలద్ శ్రీవాంఛగళవర

ಸಹಿಯನ್ನು ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ

By order.

No 2495-
mus r 316-08 b dated 30-6-1909 and one

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dis - with reference to his letter no 104 dated 10th April 1909 returning record received therewith

By order.
for Secretary

ನಂ ೧

No 4874 G 30-4-1908

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನ್ಮೆಂಟು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗನಾಥಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ
ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವಿಕೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಬೇಲೂರು, ಮತ್ತು
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗನಾಥಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇನಾಮಾಗಿ ಪಡೆದಿ
ದ್ದ ಶಡದಾಂಡೋತಿ ಶಿಡೋಡಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮಹಜರಿ ಈವು ಕುಜೂರಿಗೆ
ಹಾಯಿಸಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡುಬಂದ್ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿ ೭೦ ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ ೧೯೦೮ ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ
ಬರು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ನೋಡಲಾಯಿತು.

ಹಿಂದೆ 1896 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ನಂಜಾ
ರಾಧ್ಯರವರಿಗೆ ಹೊರವಸ್ತುವಿನ ಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ಕಿರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಳೆಲ್ಲಿಪ್ಪೆ
ಶಡದಾರಿ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗು ಬಂಗಾರಶಡದಾಂಡಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ
ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಇದಕ್ಕೊಂದನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡನವರಿಂ
ಬಿರುದಾವಳಿಯು ಮತ್ತು ಇವರು ನಿಷ್ಠಾನ ಸಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ನೆಲೆಸಿಯಿರುವ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಸ್ಥರಾಗಿರುವ, ತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೋಗಿರಬಹುದಾದ
ಬಿರುದಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮಹಜರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿ
ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಂದಿರಬಹುದಾದ ಬಾಯಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾ
ಗಿರುವಂತೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರಗಳು ಈಗ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಬರುವ
ಹಾಗೆ ಅಗಲಾರಗೆ ಗವರ್ನ್ಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ವಿವರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿರುವ
ಹಾಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯುವುದ

By order
sd L Anantaswamy Rao
Secretary

Copy
A Venkata Rao
for Superintendent

Copy applied for by Muzrai Branch
Mr. Sundara rajachar on 9-1-29 Cr. R. S.
Ready on 11.1.29
delivered on 11-1-29
No of words 100
Copying Etc fees 0-2-0

28 ONLY

7th July 1930

Endorsement granted to M.R. Ry. Sundararajachar, Agent, Sri Vyasaraja Mutt, Sosale.

With reference to his petition dated 29th June 1930 to the Dewan of Mysore, praying for a review of the G.O. of 20th July 1928 permitting the Kundapur Vyasarajaswami Mutt to use certain insignia, the petitioner is informed that Government see no grounds for reconsidering the orders already passed.

by order,

V Subramanyasastri

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Jairdoff. 7/7

SUBJECT.....

Section Mcg

File No. 16 – 30

Serial No. 2

No. 28

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

To

Endorsement to granted

M.R.Ry Sundararajachar

Agent Sri Vyasaraja Mutt

Soosale

Date of issue ... 8-7-30 .....

Signed by..... V. S. Sastry

With reference to his petn dated 29-6-30 to the Dewan of Mysore praying for a review of the GO of 20-7-29 permitting the Kundapur Vyasaraja Mutt to use certain insignia, the petitioner is informed that Govt see no fresh grounds for reconsidering the order

List of enclosures. . . . .

Copied by .. . .

Examined by.. ..... .

WD02327—GPB—5,000—16-4-30

the heading Sir
that the Kun
wami Munts at shows
not enjoy the use of the Drum or canal
marginally duo green flags
while is altogether and green umbrellas
untenable, in much as
been con
these
upon many
Sir / Dafur and
Sir yasaray Munts.

By order

5/7/30

A. Sec to Govt.

5-7-30

note
4/7/30

25 pages